

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

IVAN LEE MATTHEWS, II,

M

Plaintiff.

Case No. 3:19-cv-00217-MMD-WGC

ORDER

WILLIAM GITTERE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

11 Pro se Plaintiff Ivan Matthews, II, filed a first amended civil rights complaint under
12 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 10 (“FAC”).) Before the Court is the Report and
13 Recommendation (“R&R” or “Recommendation”) of United States Magistrate Judge
14 William G. Cobb (ECF No. 28), recommending that Defendants’ motion for summary
15 judgment (ECF No. 21) be granted. Matthews had until November 8, 2021, to file an
16 objection. To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed. For this reason, and as
17 explained below, the Court adopts Judge Cobb’s R&R and will grant Defendants’ motion
18 for summary judgment.

The Court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object to a magistrate judge’s recommendation, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); see also *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003) (“De novo review of the magistrate judges’ findings and recommendations is required if, but *only* if, one or both parties file objections to the findings and recommendations.”) (emphasis in original); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72, Advisory Committee Notes (1983) (providing that the Court “need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

1 Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct *de novo* review, and is
2 satisfied Judge Cobb did not clearly err. Here, Judge Cobb recommends that Defendants'
3 motion for summary judgment be granted as Matthews failed to exhaust his administrative
4 remedies. (ECF No. 28 at 4-5.) More specifically, Defendants are entitled to summary
5 judgment as Matthews did not file any grievance about the alleged unsanitary conditions
6 in the shower facility or the blood related issues he raises in his FAC. (*Id.*) The Court
7 agrees with Judge Cobb. Having reviewed the R&R and the record in this case, the Court
8 will adopt the R&R in full.

9 It is therefore ordered that Judge Cobb's Report and Recommendation (ECF No.
10 28) is accepted and adopted in full.

11 It is further ordered that Defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 21)
12 is granted.

13 The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly and to close this case.

DATED THIS 15th Day of November 2021.

MIRANDA M. DU
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE